

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/831,555 08/14/2001 Balbir Kumar 540-311 5779 23117 7590 05/21/2003 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC **EXAMINER** 1100 N GLEBE ROAD WIMER, MICHAEL C 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2821

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				u	/
•		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	
	· ·	09/831,555		KUMAR, BALBIR	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	v.	Michael C. Wi	mer	2821	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the co	er sheet with th	correspondence address	
A SHOTHE IN CONTROL OF THE INCOME. If NO Failure Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sieply received by the Office later than three months after the mid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, h n. a reply within the statutory priod will apply and will exp tatute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be t minimum of thirty (30) da ire SIX (6) MONTHS fror n to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 March 2003			
2a)⊠		This action is nor	final		
	<i>,</i> —			orogogytian an to the mo-	:_
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims				TIS IS
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6,9-23,25 and 27 is/are pending	g in the application			
i	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consid	eration.		
5)🖂	Claim(s) 9-12 is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,13-23,25 and 27</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requi	rement.		
Applicati	on Papers	·			
9) 🗌 🧻	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) obje	cted to by the Exa	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be I	neld in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a)□ appro	ved b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office	action.		
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been re	ceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been re	ceived in Applica	tion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	J	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom				cation)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applica	ation has been re	ceived.	Sation).
∠ اار⊳ا Attachment		lostic priority under	00 0.0.0. 99 12	v anu/vi 121.	
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u> .•
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		e Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 12	

Application/Control Number: 09/831,555 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8,15-19,22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tang et al (4588994).

Regarding Claims 1-8,15,16,22 and 23 Tang et al show a device 80 for controlling the direction of a radiation beam, the device comprises, a transmission means 12,30 for transmitting the beam from the source 84,89, and a steering means 46,48,88,etc., for steering the beam, spatially relative to the central axis as shown at 90 and 92 in Fig. 6, where each "cell" is shown phase shifted to collectively add to the entire beam being shifted; the transmission means comprises a body of magnetic material 12 having a central axis forming an aperture therethrough for passing the beam and parallel to the radiation beam, where the steering means causes the radiation beam to emerge from the transmission means offset relative to the central axis in free space in a known direction (Fig. 6, 90,92), all arranged as claimed. The magnetic means applies a gradient in magnetism across the aperture and it is not perpendicular to the central axis (Fig. 6). The frequency bands claimed are taught by Tang et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/831,555 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13,14,20,21,25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al in view of Robertson et al (WO 97/29525).

A conical reflector 8 is shown by Robertson et al in a scanned and polarized antenna system in Fig. 2 to be well known in the antenna art. It would have been obvious to employ such a reflector in the system of Tang et al to prevent scattering. Regarding Claims 20,21,25 and 27, a communications unit including RX/TX, modulator/demodulator is an obvious use for the system disclosed in the primary reference device and notice of such use is hereby taken in order to provide modulation/information transmission and reception.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/3/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, as noted above, there is a spatial offset beam shown by Tang et al emerging from the cells as 90, 92 in Fig. 6. Collectively, the steered beam is offset spatially from the central axis. Claims 9-12 have been allowed. Since the remaining claims are shown to be taught in the prior art, it is not seen that these claims patentably define over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/831,555

Art/Unit: 2821

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (703)

305-3555. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (703) 308-4856.

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 2821

MCW 5/1/03